	Case 2:20-cv-01509-DB Document	4 Filed 08/26/20 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	GERALD FRANK STANLEY,	No. 2:20-cv-1509 DB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER
14	RONALD BROOMFIELD, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	The federal venue statute provides that a civil action "may be brought in (1) a judicial	
20	district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the	
21	district is located, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions	
22	giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action	
23	is situated, or (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as provided in	
24	this action, any judicial district in which any defendant is subject to the court's personal	
25	jurisdiction with respect to such action." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).	
26	In this case, the claim arose in Marin County, which is in the Northern District of	
27	California. Therefore, plaintiff's claim should have been filed in the United States District Court	
28	for the Northern District of California. In the interest of justice, a federal court may transfer a	

## Case 2:20-cv-01509-DB Document 4 Filed 08/26/20 Page 2 of 2 complaint filed in the wrong district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974). Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

States District Court for the Northern District of California.

5 Dated: August 25, 2020

7 /bh stan1509.21

1 /